D	ate of Completion: 1/20)/2022	
St	ate: Michigan		Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2022
	Overall Report Two-parent Report	_X_ (check one)	Apply the overall credit to the two-parent yes participation rate? no
		<u> </u>	Changes Made Since FY 2005 section for EACH change)
1.	Name of eligibility chang	ge:	
	-		ring for cash assistance be referred immediately to the Work spended effective 6/1/2006. This policy was reinstated
2.	Implementation date of e	ligibility change:	
	Individuals immediately and reinstated 5/2/2007.	referred to Work First pi	rogram as a condition of eligibility was suspended 6/1/2006
3.	Description of policy, inc	cluding the change from	prior policy:
	•		Training (JET) clients must be referred to the WF/JET emporarily eliminated this requirement as a condition of
4.	Description of the methodattach supporting materi	~ •	the estimated impact of this eligibility change
	There is no longer a case	load impact which can b	be attached to this policy.
:			
5.	Estimated average month	ly impact of this eligibil	lity change on caseload in comparison year: _N/A

1. Name of eligibility change:

A 19 year-old attending high school full-time is no longer considered a dependent child in the eligible FIP group.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2011

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

Previous to the policy change, a 19 year-old that attended high school full-time and that was expecting to graduate before the age of 20, was a mandatory group member in the eligible FIP group. This child was in the FIP group as a dependent child until the child turned 20 years old or graduated from high school, whichever occurred first.

State law, MCL 400.57(1)(c) changed the definition of a dependent child, eliminating any 19 year-olds active FIP.

If a group's FIP eligibility was dependent on the 19 year-old, the FIP case closed. The cases reported as closed were due to the only child in the home that was 19 years old. Cases that had other children in the home or a pregnant grantee remained open, but the needs of the 19 year-old were removed.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)

Please see the impact table titled, 19 Year Olds Removed as a Dependent Child. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.

• The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 5

1. Name of eligibility change:

A 16 or 17 year-old not attending high school full-time is disqualified from receiving FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2011

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, a 16 or 17 year-old that was not attending high school full-time was required to participate at Michigan's employment and training program.
 - Policy item BEM 245, School Attendance and Student Status, was changed and the 16 or 17 year-old will be disqualified from receiving FIP and will not be referred to Michigan's employment and training program.
 - Effective June 11, 2015, this policy was signed into State law, MCL 400.57b.
 - If a group's FIP eligibility was dependent on the 16 or 17 year old who was not attending high school full-time, then the FIP case closed. The cases closed were due to the only child in the home being the disqualified child. Cases that had other children or a pregnant grantee in the home remained open, but the needs of the 16 or 17 year old were removed.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, 16/17 Year Olds Disqualified for not Attending School. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - o The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 2

1. Name of eligibility change:

Financial need exists for FIP if there is at least a \$10 deficit after income is budgeted. If the deficit is less than \$10, the FIP case is closed.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2011

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, after budgeting any earned or unearned income, if a group's FIP grant was under \$10, the FIP case would remain open/approved, but the client would not receive the grant amount.
 - Policy item BEM 518, FIP Income Budgeting, was updated stating financial need exists if there is at least a \$10 deficit after income is budgeted.
 - A deficit of at least \$10 is required to receive a cash benefit. If the deficit is less than \$10, financial need does not exist and the FIP group is not eligible to receive benefits. The FIP case will close.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, Less Than \$10 Deficit. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - o The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - o The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year:123

Į,	Name of eligibility change:
	Asset limit of \$500,000 for property assets.
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change:
	10/1/2011
3.	Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
	 Previous to the policy change, property assets were excluded from determining FIP eligibility. The annual appropriations act of 2011, 2011 P.A. 63 Sec. 686(3), states "The department shall prohibit individuals with property assets assessed at a value higher than \$500,000 from accessing assistance through department-administered programs, unless such a prohibition would violate federal rules and guidelines." If a FIP group has more than \$500,000 total property assets, the FIP case will close. This policy is no longer in effect. The annual appropriations act of 2013 changed this asset limit, voiding this policy.
4.	Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
	There is no longer a caseload impact which can be attached to this policy.
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: N/A

1. Name of eligibility change:

	Asset limit of \$250,000 for property assets.
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change:
	10/1/2013
3.	 Previous to the policy change, property asset limit was \$500,000. The annual appropriations act of 2013, 2013 P.A. 59 Sec 686 (3), states "The department shall prohibit individuals with property assets assessed at a value higher than \$250,000 from accessing assistance through department-administered programs, unless such a prohibition would violate federal rules and guidelines." If a FIP group has more than \$250,000 total property assets, the FIP case will close. This policy is no longer in effect. The annual appropriations act of 2014 changed this asset limit, voiding this policy.
4.	Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) There is no longer a caseload impact which can be attached to this policy.
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: N/A
OME	3 Control No.: 0970-0338 Expiration Date: 11/30/2023

Page 6 of 16

1. Name of eligibility change:

Asset limit of \$200,000 for property assets.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2014

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, property asset limit was \$250,000.
 - The annual appropriations act of 2014, 2014 P.A. 252 Sec 686 (3), states "The department shall prohibit individuals with property assets assessed at a value higher than \$200,000 from accessing assistance through department-administered programs, unless such a prohibition would violate federal rules and guidelines."
 - If a FIP group has more than \$200,000 total property assets, the FIP case will close.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, \$200,000 Property Asset Limit. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - o The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - o The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 1

1. Name of eligibility change:

A person convicted two or more times for a drug related felony is disqualified from FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2011

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, the department only disqualified an individual receiving FIP if that person was in violation of the terms of their probation/parole and the conduct for which the person was convicted occurred after August 22, 1996. If the person was not in violation of the terms of probation or parole, the FIP benefits were paid in the form of restricted payments.
 - The annual appropriations act of 2011, 2011 P.A. 63 Sec 619(2), changed this policy to disqualify an individual if the individual was convicted in two or more separate cases of a felony that included the possession, use, or distribution of a controlled substance after August 22, 1996.
 - If a group's FIP eligibility was dependent on the individual who was disqualified due to a second drug related felony, then the FIP case closed. The cases that may close would be due to the disqualification of the only person in the group receiving FIP. Cases that had other individuals eligible to receive FIP remained open, but the needs of the disqualified individual were removed.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, Persons Convicted of Two or More Times for a Drug Related Felony. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - o The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - o The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 1

1. Name of eligibility change:

The 60 month federal time limit hardship exemption was eliminated from the TANF State Plan which had the effect of closing FIP cases that included individuals that received over 60 months of federally funded FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2011

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, Michigan utilized the option of extending assistance beyond 60 months to individuals, up to 20 percent of the average monthly TANF case load in the TANF State Plan.
 - Effective 10/1/2011, Michigan changed the TANF State Plan, no longer utilizing the option for extending assistance beyond 60 months for individuals. Once an individual in the FIP group reaches 60 federally funded months, the group is ineligible for FIP assistance.
 - Effective 1/9/2013 State law, MCL 400.57a(4) was signed with immediate effect stating the department shall not provide FIP to any program group that includes an adult who has received assistance under any state program funded with TANF for more than 60 months.
 - o Exception: MCL 400.57a(4) does not apply to a program group that includes an adult who was exempt from the JET program on 1/9/2013 under certain conditions. These cases are solely state-funded. They are not a separate state program. However, they are included with the caseload count under solely state-funded program.
 - A group is not eligible for TANF (FIP) if an individual in the group has received over 60 federally funded FIP months.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, Federal Time Limit Closures. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - O The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - o The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 330

1. Name of eligibility change:

The 60 month federal time limit hardship exemption was eliminated from the TANF State Plan which had the effect of *denying* FIP *applications* that included individuals that received over 60 months of federally funded FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2011

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, Michigan utilized the option of extending assistance beyond 60 months to individuals, up to 20 percent of the average monthly TANF case load in the TANF State Plan.
 - Effective 10/1/2011, Michigan changed the TANF State Plan, no longer utilizing the option for extending assistance beyond 60 months for individuals. Once an individual in the FIP group reaches 60 federally funded months, the group is ineligible for FIP assistance.
 - Effective 1/9/2013 State law, MCL 400.57a(4) was signed with immediate effect stating the department shall not provide FIP to any program group that includes an adult who has received assistance under any state program funded with TANF for more than 60 months.
 - o Exception: MCL 400.57a(4) does not apply to a program group that includes an adult who was exempt from the JET program on 1/9/2013 under certain conditions. These cases are solely state-funded. They are not a separate state program. However, they are included with the caseload count under solely state-funded program.
 - A group is not eligible for TANF (FIP) if an individual in the group has received over 60 federally funded FIP months. If a family applies for FIP with an individual in the FIP group that has received over 60 federally funded FIP months, the application is denied.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, Federal Time Limit Application Denials. The effect from both one
 fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the
 impact table.
 - O The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - O The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 1617

OMB Control No.: 0970-0338 Expiration Date: 11/30/2023

Page 10 of 16

1. Name of eligibility change:

An individual in a group cannot receive more than 48 months of FIP in a lifetime.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2007

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to this policy change in 2007, Michigan did not have a more restrictive time limit than the time limit of 60 months in Federal law.
 - From the policy start date of 10/1/2007, 10/1/2011 is the first month a client could reach 48 months and have their FIP closed due to the state time limit.

- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, State Time Limit Closures. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - o The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 436

1. Name of eligibility change:

An individual in the FIP group cannot receive more than 48 months of FIP in a lifetime which had the effect of *denying* FIP *applications* that included individuals that received over 48 months of FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2011

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to this policy change in 2007, Michigan did not have a more restrictive time limit than the time limit of 60 months in Federal law.
 - From the policy start date of 1/1/2007, 10/1/2011 is the first month a client could have reached 48 months. If the family applies for FIP after 10/1/2011 with an individual in the FIP group that has received over 48 months of FIP, the *application* is *denied*.

- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, State Time Limit Denials. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - O The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 1166

1. Name of eligibility change:

If a dependent child in the FIP group age 6-15 is not attending school full-time, the entire FIP group is not eligible to receive FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2012

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to this policy change, the FIP case would remain open/approved if a family included a child 6-15 years old that was not attending school full-time.
 - Policy item BEM 245, School Attendance and Student Status, was updated stating if a dependent child age 6-15 is not attending school full-time, the entire FIP group is not eligible to receive FIP.
 - If it is verified that a dependent child 6-15 years old in the FIP group is not attending school full-time, the FIP case will close for the entire group.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, 6-15 Year Olds Not Attending School Full-Time. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - O The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - o The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 90

1.	Name of eligibility change:
	A solely state-funded group of cases was created.
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change:
	10/1/2006
3.	Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
	• Effective 10/1/2006, a solely state-funded group of cases was created. This group is comprised of two-parent families and cases in which the adult is incapacitated greater than 90 days.
4.	Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) • The solely state-funded program cases are tracked monthly via Michigan's Green Book monthly and annual reports. Used for this report was the Fiscal Year 2015 Annual Report: Table 11, to capture the actual number of solely state-funded FIP cases. The actual monthly numbers were inputted into the attached Solely State-Funded Cases impact table. The impact table took the actual monthly numbers entered and calculated the monthly average for the fiscal year.
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 2347

Date of Completion: 1/20/2022

State: Michigan Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2022

PART 2 - Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit

(Complete Part 2 using Excel Workbook provided.)

Date o	f Completion: 1/20/2022		
State:	Michigan	Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2022	

PART 3 -- Certification

I certify that we have provided the public an appropriate opportunity to comment on the estimates and methodology used to complete this report and considered those comments in completing it. Further, I certify that this report incorporates all reductions in the caseload resulting from State eligibility changes and changes in Federal requirements since Fiscal Year 2005.

(signature)
Joshua Rivera (name)
 Economic Stability Administration Policy Director (title)

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT Overall Credit

	A	В	С	D	E	F
1	Michigan		F	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:		2022
2				Date of Completion:	10/22/2021	
3	PART 2 – Estimate of	Caseload	l Rec	duction Credit		
4			1			
	Impact of All Changes			Caseload Reduction Calculation		
6	19 year olds Removed as a Dependent child	5		FY 2005 TANF Caseload	80,595_	
7	16/17 year olds Disqualified for not Attending School	2	:	FY 2005 SSP Caseload	0_	
8	Less Than \$10 Deficit	123	}	Total FY 2005 Caseload	80,595	
9	\$200,000 Property Asset Limit	1		FY 2021 TANF Caseload	9,372	
10	Persons Convicted of Two or More Times for a Drug Related Felony	1		FY 2021 SSP Caseload	0	
11	Federal Time Limit Closures	330)	Total FY 2021 Caseload	9,372	
12	Federal Time Limit Application Denials	1617	" [1	Excess MOE Cases in FY 2021	200	
13	State Time Limit Closures	436	}	Adjusted FY 2021 Caseload	9,172	
14	State Time Limit Denials	1166	5 (Caseload Decline	71,423	88.6%
15	6-15 Year Olds Not Attending School Full-Time	90)	Decline – Net Impact	77,541	
16	Solely State-Funded Cases	2347	7	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR		
17				Caseload Reduct	ion Credit =	88.6%
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23			9			
24						
25						
26	Net Impact	6,118	3			
27					-	
28						

Policy Name

19 year Olds Removed as a Dependent Child
Date of Completion: 10/27/2021

					Impact o	n Each N	lonth in F	Y?				School and Constitution	
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
ime of Closure													
rior years carryover	6	6	5	5	- 5	5	4	4	4	14	4	3	
oct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
lov		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ol	
ec ec			0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	ol	
an	<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>		///////	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ol	
eb					0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ol	
1ar						0	0	0	0	0	0	ol	
pr						///////	0	0	0	0	0	ol	
lay							///////	0	0	0	0	ol	
un									0	0	0	0	
ul									//////	0	0	ol	
ug											0	0	
Sep												0	G
-						<u> </u>	tudente tudente de La						
otal	6	6	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	3	

Policy Name

16/17 year olds Disqualified for not Attending School Date of Completion: 10/27/2021

					Impact of	n Each N	lonth in F	FY?					
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure													
Prior years carryover	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Oct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Vov		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	이	
Dec			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Jan				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
⁼ eb				///////	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mar						0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Apr							0	0	0	0	0	0	
May								0	0	0	0	0	
Jun								///////	0	0	0	0	
Jul										0	0	0	
Aug											0	0	
Sep											///////	0	Gra
													То
Total	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	

Policy Name

Less Than \$10 Deficit

		7 0110	y i vaiiio							o man wi	ODOMOR		
									Da	ate of Com	pletion:	10/27/2	2021
					Impact o	n Each M	lonth in F	Y?					
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure											-		
Prior years carryover	137	130	124	117	112	106	101	96	91	86	82	78	
Oct	1	1	1	1	1	1					1	1	
Nov		6	6	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	
Dec			3	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Jan				4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	
Feb					0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mar						6	6	5	5	5	5	4	
Арг							3	3	3	3	2	2	
May								5	5	5	4	4	
Jun									2	2	2	2	
Jul										3	3	3	
Aug											3	3	
Sep												0	Grand
													Tota
Total	138	137	133	131	124	124	121	120	116	113	110	105	1,471
												•	
										FY?ı	monthly a	verage	123

Policy Name

\$200,000 Property Asset Limit
Date of Completion: 10/27/2021

					Impact o	n Each N	lonth in F	Y ?					
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure													
Prior years carryover	1	1	1	1	1-1	1				1	1	1	
Oct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nov		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dec			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Jan				1	1.5	1	1	1	1		1	1	
Feb					0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mar						0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Apr							0	0	0	0	0	0	
May								0	0	0	0	0	
Jun								///////	0	0	0	0	
Jul										0	0	0	
Aug											0	0	
Sep												0	Grand
							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>	()				Tota
Total	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1
										FY?	nonthly a	average	

Policy Name

Persons Convicted of Two or More Times for a Drug-Related Felony
Date of Completion: 10/27/2021

					Impact o	n Each M	onth in I	EV 2	Do	ite oi Com	pietion.	IUIZII	2021
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure						11101	7 (2)	ividy	- Our	Out	7 tug	ОСР	
Prior years carryover		Stava 1 Vid	1011	1	\$4668551 1 668	danial di	avd (d 1 (d)	1	Gilligery 1 Arab	41.4.1. 1 .1.2.	1	4441	
Oct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nov		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dec			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Jan			//////	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Feb				//////	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ol	
Mar						0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Apr						///////	0	0	0	0	0	اه	
May							//////	0	0	0	0	o	
Jun								///////	0	0	0	0	
Jul										0	0	0	
Aug										///////	0	0	
Sep											///////	0	Grand
•	tententenlankadentenkadenlankaden									a i de la 	<u></u>		Tota
Total	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
												•	
										FY?	monthly a	verage	1

Policy Name

Federal Time Limit Closures
Date of Completion: 10/27/2021

	Impact on Each Month in FY?												
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure													
Prior years carryover	383	364	346	328	312	296	282	267	254	241	229	218	
Oct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nov		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	14	1	1	1	
Dec			12	11	11	10	10	9	9	8	8	8	
Jan				12	11	11	10	10	9	9	8	8	
Feb					8	8	7	7	7	6	6	6	
Mar						6	6	5	5	5	5	4	
Apr							11	10	10	9	9	9	
May								8	8	7	7	7	
Jun									6	6	5	5	
Jul										6	6	5	
Aug										///////	9	9	
Sep											///////	3	(
				. 4. 4 . 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.									ı
Total	383	365	359	353	343	332	326	318	308	299	293	281	
	•			' ''									
										FY?	monthly	average	

Policy Name

Federal Time Limit Application Denials
Date of Completion: 10/27/2021

					Impact	on Each	Month in	FY?					
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure													
Prior years carryover	1,738	1,651	1,569	1,490	1,416	1,345	1,278	1,214	1,153	1,095	1,041	989	
Oct	61	58	55	52	50	47	45	43	40	38	37	35	
Nov		58	55	52	50	47	45	43	41	38	37	35	
Dec			63	60	57	54	51	49	46	44	42	40	
Jan	<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>			64	61	58	55	52	50	47	45	42	
Feb					72	68	65	62	59	56	53	50	
Mar						37	35	33	32	30	29	27	
Apr							43	41	39	37	35	33	
May								35	33	32	30	29	
Jun									21	20	19	18	
Jul										30	29	27	
Aug											43	41	
Sep												49	
Total	1,799	1,767	1,742	1,719	1,705	1,656	1,617	1,571	1,513	1,468	1,437	1,414	
								•					
										FY?	monthly	average	

Policy Name

State Time Limit Closures

									Da	ite of Com	pletion:	10/27/2	2021
		Impact on Each Month in FY ?											
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure													
Prior years carryover	569	541	514	488	463	440	418	397	377	359	341	324	
Oct	2	2	2	2	2	2		1			1	1	
Nov		7	7	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	4	4	
Dec			27	26	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	
Jan				24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	16	
Feb					22	21	20	19	18	17	16	15	
Mar						24	23	22	21	20	19	18	
Apr							33	31	30	28	27	26	
May								25	24	23	21	20	
Jun									18	17	16	15	
Jul										18	17	16	
Aug										//////	12	11	
Sep												16	Grand
-													Tota
Total	569	541	514	488	463	440	418	397	377	359	341	324	5,23
							•						
										FY?	monthly a	average	43

Policy Name

State Time Limit Denials
Date of Completion: 10/27/2021

		Impact on Each Month in FY ?											
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure													
Prior years carryover	1,120	1,064	1,011	960	912	867	823	782	743	706	671	637	
Oct	69	66	62	59	56	53	51	48	46	43	41	39	
Nov		65	62	59	56	53	50	48	45	43	41	39	
Dec			79	75	71	68	64	61	58	55	52	50	
Jan				68	65	61	58	55	53	50	47	45	
Feb				////////	81	77	73	69	66	63	60	57	
Mar						30	29	27	26	24	23	22	
Apr							26	25	23	22	21	20	
May								44	42	40	38	36	
Jun									22	21	20	19	
Jul										40	38	36	
Aug											23	22	
Sep												59	Gran
<u></u>	<i>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</i>										<u> </u>		Tota
Total	1,189	1,195	1,214	1,221	1,241	1,209	1,175	1,160	1,124	1,108	1,075	1,081	13,99
	1,	, -		•								•	
										FY?	monthly	average	1,16

Policy Name

6-15 Year Olds Not Attending School Full-Time

Date of Completion: 10/27/2021 Impact on Each Month in FY? Sep Jul Mar Apr May Jun Aug Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Time of Closure Prior years carryover Oct 1 3 Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar 7 9 Apr May Jun Jul Aug Grand Sep Total 1,075 Total FY? monthly average

Policy Name

Solely State-Funded Cases
Date of Completion: 10/27/2021

	Impact on Each Month in FY?												
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure													
Prior years carryover	0	0	0	0	41.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Oct	2,924	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nov		2,749	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dec			2,656	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Jan				2,576	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Feb					2,520	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mar						2,423	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Apr							2,308	0	0	0	0	0	
May							///////	2,140	0	0	0	0	
Jun									2,042	0	0	0	
Jul										1,947	0	0	
Aug											1,946	0	
Sep												1,928	Grand
													Total
Total	2,924	2,749	2,656	2,576	2,520	2,423	2,308	2,140	2,042	1,947	1,946	1,928	28,159
										FY?	monthly	average	2,347

FORM ACF-202 - TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT Excess MOE Worksheet

	Α	В	С	D E	F
1	Michigan			Fiscal Year to which credit applies:	2022
2				Date of Completion:	10/22/2021
3		Excess	MOI	E Calculation Worksheet	
4					
5	Caseload Data			Expenditure Data	
6	FY 2005 TANF Caseload	80,595		Total Expenditures	<u> </u>
7	FY 2005 SSP Caseload	0		FY 2021 Total Federal Expenditures	\$666,871,133
8	Total FY 2005 Caseload	80,595		FY 2021 Total MOE Expenditures	\$493,316,223
9	FY 2021 TANF Caseload	9,372		Total Expenditures (Federal + MOE)	\$1,160,187,356
10	FY 2021 SSP Caseload	0			
11	Total FY 2021 Caseload	9,372		Assistance Expenditures	
12				FY 2021 Federal Expenditures on Assistance	\$103,024,128
13	2-Parent Caseload Data			FY 2021 MOE Expenditures on Assistance	\$194,440
14	FY 2005 2-p TANF Caseload	0		Total Expenditures on Assistance (Federal + MOE)	\$103,218,568
15	FY 2005 2-p SSP Caseload	0		Percentage of Expenditures on Assistance	8.90%
16	Total FY 2005 Caseload	0			
17	FY 2021 2-p TANF Caseload	0		Expenditures Per Case	
18	FY 2021 2-p SSP Caseload	0		Average Expenditures per Case	\$123,793
19	Total FY 2021 Caseload	0		Average Expenditures per Case on Assistance	\$11,014
20	4 3000				
21				MOE and Excess MOE	
22				Required MOE (80% or 75%)	\$468,518,376
23				Excess MOE Expenditures	\$24,797,847
24				Excess MOE Expenditures on Assistance	\$2,206,194
25	Adjusted Caseload Data				
26	Adjusted FY 2021 Overall Caseload	9,172		Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE	200
27	Adjusted FY 2021 2-parent Caseload	0		2-Parent Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE	0
28					
29					
30					
31					
32					
	1	1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

OMB Approval No.: 0970-0338 Expiration Date: 07/31/2014